

## OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS AUSTIN

GERALD C. MANN

Honorable John R. Shook Criminal District Attorney Bexar County San Antonio, Texas

Attention: S. Benton Davies

Dear Sir:

NO COMMUNICATION IS TO BE CONSTRUED AS

Opinion No. 0-5453

Should Certificate of Title fees collected by the Tax Collector be deposited in the Officers Special Fund under control of the County Treasurer or in a Certificate of Title Rund under the Tax Collector's control; Should deputies of the Tax Collector under the Certificate of Title Act be approved by the Commissioners Court; Can any of the Certificate of Title Funds be transferred to the Road and Bridge Fund?

Your letter of July 15, 1943 requesting the opinion of this department on the questions stated therein reads as follows:

"Our county auditor has propounded to us three questions conserning our interpretation of Senate Bill No. 211 of the 48th Legislature, Regular Session, which bill amends Section 57 of Article 1436 of the Revised Penal Code of the State of Texas, said article being the Motor Certificate of Title Act.

The questions propounded are these:

- "(1). Should these Certificate of Title Fees, collected by the Tex Collector, be deposited in the Officers Special Fund under control of the County Treasurer, or in a Certificate of Title Fund under the Tex Collector's control?
- "(2). If you hold that Certificate of Title fees should be deposited in the Certificate of Title Fund under the Tax Collector's control, if the Tax Collector employs Deputies for this purpose should they be approved by the Commissioners' Court?
- "(3). Can any of these funds be transferred to the Road and Bridge Fund?

"By way of brief on the foregoing questions. we cite you to Section 86 of Article 1436 of the Revised Penal Code which provides the term designated agent means each county tax collector in this State who may perform his duty under this Act through any regular deputy'. Section 57 of the same Act which is amended by Senate Bill 211. reads the same as such amendment except for the addition of the last two sentences of Senate Bill No. 211, providing for the employment of assistants and for remitting any remaining funds in the designated agent's hands to the Road and Bridge Fund of his county. Article 5918E, Section 1 of the Revised Civil Statutes provides 'that the Assessor and Collestor of Texes shall continue to collect and retain for the benefit of the Officers Salary Fund or funds hereinefter provided, all fees and commissions which he is authorized under law to collect end which shall be his daty to account for, and to pay all such monion received by him into the fund or funds created and provided for under the provisions of the Act'.

"Section 19, paragraph H, and Section K of this Article 5912E provides for the appointment of assistants and employees of the tax collector

and for the payment of such assistants from the Officers Salary Fund, and further provides for the transfer from the General Fund of the county to the Officers Salary Fund of such monies as are necessary to pay the salaries and other legally suthorized claims against such funds.

"Article 16, Section 61 of the State Constitution of Texas, reads as follows: 'all fees earned by District, County and Precinct Offices shall be paid into the County Treasury where earned for the account of the proper fund'.

"We cite you further to the case of State, et al versus Glass, 167 Southwestern 2nd, page 296, which case discusses all of the provisions of the statute concerned in the answer of the questions propounded to us.

"The monies involved in this particular instance are being held in a special fund awaiting your opinion upon the questions propounded. Both the Tax Collector and the Auditor will appreciate receiving your opinion at the earliest possible moment.

"Thanking you for your usual courteous attention to these matters, we are --"

We wish to answer first the question No. 2, and we shall answer questions No. 1 and No. 3 together after answering question No. 2. Article 5912A Revised Civil Statutes of Texas providing for a budget in counties "in which the population according to the last preceding Federal Census exceeds three hundred thousand (300,000) inhabitants, and does not exceed three hundred fifty five thousand (355,000) inhabitants" applies to Bexar County which had according to the 1940 Census a population of three hundred thirty eight thousand one hundred seventy six (338,176) inhabitants. This article provides among other things ". . . Such budget shall be carefully itemized and shall include the salaries and number of deputies and assistants in each of said offices, and all other expense necessary for the operation of said offices."

Article 39125, sub-division (h) of the Revised Civil Statutes of Texas provides that:

"Whenever any district or county officer, . . . shall require the services of deputies, assistants, and employees in the performance of his duties he shall apply to the Commissioners' Court for authority to appoint such deputies. assistants, and employees, stating by sworn application the number needed, the position to be filled, the duties to be performed, and the amount to be paid. Said application shall be accompanied by a statement showing the probable receipts from fees, commissions, and compensation to be collected by said office during the fiscal year and the probable disburgements which shall include all salaries and expenses of said office: and said court shall make its order authorizing the appointment of such deputies, assistants, and clerks and fix the compensation to be paid them within the limitations herein prescribed and determine the number to be appointed as in the discretion of said court may be proper; provided that in no case shall the Commissioners' Court or any member thereof attempt to influence the appointment of any person as deputy, assistant, or clerk in any office. Upon the entry of such order the officers applying for such deputies, essistants, and employees shall be authorized to appoint them; provided that said compensation shall not exceed the maximum amount hereignfter set out. The maximum compensation which may be allowed to the deputies, assistants, or elerks above mamed for their services shall be as follows:

It has been held by the Court of Civil Appeals that the duties imposed upon the Tex Assessor and Collector by Article 1456-1, V. A. C. S. are duties of his office (State et al. vs. Class, et al., 167 S. N. (24) 396). Therefore, in answer to your second question, it is our opinion that the appointment of deputies or assistants to perform the duties required by Article 1436-1 must be made in the same

manner as any other appointment of any other assistant or deputy in such office, and the application to the Commissioners' Court for authority to appoint such deputies, must be made by the Tax Assessor and Collector, stating by sworn allegations the number needed, the positions to be filled, the duties to be performed and the amount to be paid, accompanied by a statement showing the probable receipts of office as provided in Article 3912E, Sub-Division (h); and the Commissioners' Court shall make its order authorizing the appointment of such deputies after determining the number to be appointed. After the order is made, the tax assessor and collector is authorized to appoint such deputies.

In reply to your inquiries No. 1 and No. 3, it is our opinion that the Certificate of Title Fees collected by the Tax Collector and Assessor in administering the Certificate of Title Act should be turned over to the County Treasurer to be deposited in the county depository in a special fund or account separate and apart from all other funds and accounts so that a determination can be made as to whether or not there is any balance in said fund or secount after the payment of salaries of deputies or assistants of the Collector and payment of necessary expenses in administering the Act; then, after the determination of the amount of such salaries and expenses, such amount should be transferred to the Officers' Salary Fund, and any balance in such special fund, after deducting the amount for salaries and expenses must be remitted to the Road and Bridge Fund of the county.

In connection with the foregoing we call attention to Section 61, Article 16 of the State Constitution as construed in the case of State, et al. vs. Glass, et al., supra, and in the case of Settegast, et al. vs. Herris County, 159 5. w. (24) \$43 wherein the law has been fixed that all county officers shall be compensated on a salary basis alone and all fees carned by such officers shall be paid into the County Treasury for the account of the proper fund.

Senate Bill No. 211, Chapter 272, 48th Regular Session of the Legislature amended Section 57 of the Gertificate of Title Act, with reference to the twenty five (25¢) cents to be retained by the Tax Collector, and among other things provided as follows:

"... Provided, any such designated agent may employ any and all necessary assistants and incur any and all necessary expense in administering this act in his county. Such designated agent shall pay such employed assistants and such necessary expenses incurred by him from the funds retained by him hereunder, and any amount of such funds remaining in his hands in any event shall be by him remitted to the Road and Bridge Fund of his county."

Article 5012E, Vernon's Annotated Revised Civil Statutes, Section 1 provides as follows:

"Section 1. . . . nor shall the State or any county pay to any sounty officer in any county containing a population of twenty thousand (20,600) inhabitants or more according to the last preceding Federal Census any fee or commission for any service by him performed as such officer; provided, however, that the assessor and collector of taxes shall continue to collect and retain for the benefit of the Officers' Salary Fund or funds hereinafter provided for, all fees and commissions which he is authorized under law to collect; and it shall be his duty to account for and to pay all such monies received by him into the fund or funds created and provided for under the provisions of this Act; . . "

It can be seen from the foregoing that prior to the passage of Senate Bill No. Ell, supra, fees derived from the administration of the Certificate of Title Act should have gone into the Officers' Salary Fund as mentioned in the Glass case, when considered in connection with the general statutory provisions above quoted. However, the Senate Bill No. Ell has

designated that the surplus of such monies after payment of their saleries shall go into the Read and Bridge Fund.

Trusting this enswers all of your questions, we beg to remain

ADDROVED SEF 14, 1943

TTRET ASSISTANT

FIRST ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL

ENC:fo

THIS OPINION
CONSIDERED AND
APPROVED IN
LIMITED
CONFERENCE

Yours very truly

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TELAS

RT

Rugene N. petlett